



RISE Health Science Education Innovation (HSEI) Innovator Development Rubric

The *RISE Health Science Education Innovation (HSEI) Innovator Development Rubric* assesses seven competencies essential to health science education innovation development. HSEI is defined as new ideas with the potential to change existing approaches in teaching and learning, scale to different areas and learners, and improve practice and health. This rubric is used to assess innovator competency over time and guide RISE funding decisions.

Competency	Competency Criteria
<p>Creativity: generates ideas, alternatives, and possibilities to expand thinking beyond traditional rules and patterns</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to consider</u> approaches to generate new ideas, alternatives, and possibilities.</p> <p>2—Emerging The innovator adopts <u>traditional</u> approaches from <u>within their own discipline</u> to generate new ideas, alternatives, and possibilities.</p> <p>3—Developing The innovator applies <u>traditional</u> approaches from <u>across different disciplines</u> to generate new ideas, alternatives, and possibilities.</p> <p>4—Excelling The innovator integrates <u>novel</u> approaches from <u>across different disciplines</u> to generate new ideas, alternatives, and possibilities.</p>
<p>Critical Thinking: applies reasoned consideration to evidence, context, and methods to inform decision-making</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to consider</u> evidence, context, or methods to inform decision-making.</p> <p>2—Emerging The innovator considers evidence, context, and methods but <u>does not use</u> this information to inform decision-making.</p> <p>3—Developing The innovator applies evidence, context, and methods to inform <u>some</u> of their decision-making.</p> <p>4—Excelling The innovator integrates evidence, context, and methods to inform <u>most</u> of their decision-making.</p>
<p>Initiative: adopts a proactive approach for developing, assessing, and operationalizing ideas to foster positive change while remaining persistent in overcoming constraints</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to adopt</u> strategies for developing, assessing, and operationalizing ideas that overcome constraints that could stifle advancing their ideas.</p> <p>2—Emerging The innovator adopts strategies for developing, assessing, and operationalizing ideas, but these strategies <u>do not overcome</u> constraints that could stifle advancing their ideas.</p> <p>3—Developing The innovator adopts strategies for developing, assessing, and operationalizing ideas that overcome <u>some</u> constraints that could stifle advancing their ideas.</p> <p>4—Excelling The innovator adopts strategies for developing, assessing, and operationalizing ideas that overcome <u>most</u> constraints that could stifle advancing their ideas.</p>
<p>Intellectual Curiosity: asks thought-provoking questions to explore unknown aspects of an idea and challenge existing perspectives and explanations</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to ask</u> questions about unknown aspects of an idea that might challenge their own perspectives and explanations.</p> <p>2—Emerging The innovator asks questions that explore unknown aspects of an idea but <u>does not use</u> the information gathered to challenge existing perspectives and explanations.</p> <p>3—Developing The innovator asks questions that explore unknown aspects of an idea and uses <u>some</u> of the information gathered to challenge existing perspectives and explanations.</p> <p>4—Excelling The innovator asks questions that explore unknown aspects of an idea and uses <u>most</u> of the information gathered to challenge existing perspectives and explanations.</p>



RISE Health Science Education Innovation (HSEI) Innovator Development Rubric

<p>Intelligent Risk-taking: weighs benefits and disadvantages of choices to assume calculated risks that yield important outcomes</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to weigh</u> the benefits and disadvantages of their choices to inform calculated risks. 2—Emerging The innovator weighs benefits and disadvantages of their choices but <u>does not use</u> this information to inform calculated risks. 3—Developing The innovator weighs benefits and disadvantages of their choices and uses <u>some</u> of this information to inform calculated risks. 4—Excelling The innovator weighs benefits and disadvantages of their choices and uses <u>most</u> of this information to inform calculated risks.</p>
<p>Teamwork: collaborates with a broad network of individuals with diverse expertise and viewpoints to generate unique ideas and solutions</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to collaborate</u> with a broad network of individuals who provide diverse expertise and viewpoints. 2—Emerging The innovator collaborates with a broad network of individuals from their <u>own discipline only</u> who provide <u>limited</u> diversity in expertise and viewpoints. 3—Developing The innovator collaborates with a broad network of individuals from <u>across different disciplines</u> who provide <u>some</u> diversity in expertise and viewpoints 4—Excelling The innovator collaborates with a broad network of individuals from <u>across different disciplines</u> who provide <u>significant</u> diversity in expertise and viewpoints</p>
<p>Visioning: develops a clear direction for the desired future state with sufficient detail to determine if it has been achieved</p>	<p>1—Remedial The innovator <u>fails to develop</u> a direction for the desired future state with sufficient detail to determine if it has been achieved. 2—Emerging The innovator develops a direction for the desired future state but provides <u>insufficient</u> detail to determine if it has been achieved. 3—Developing The innovator develops a direction for the desired future state and provides <u>sufficient</u> detail to determine if it has been achieved. 4—Excelling The innovator develops a clear direction for the desired future state and provides <u>extensive</u> detail to determine if it has been achieved.</p>

References

1. Marin-Garcia, J.A., Andres, M.A.A., Atares-Huerta, L., Aznar-Mas, L.E., Garcia-Carbonell, A., González-Ladrón-de-Guevara, F. and Watts, F. (2016), *“Proposal of a framework for innovation competencies development and assessment (FINCODA)”*, Working Papers on Operations Management, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 119-126.
2. Perez-Penalver, M.J., Lourdes, E.A.-M. and Montero-Fleta, B. (2018), *“Identification and classification of behavioural indicators to assess innovation competence”*, Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 87-115.